Variations in medical practice: The problem of population need

Laura Schang

I.k.schang@lse.ac.uk





# Variations: Signal of over- and underuse of services?



**Rate of knee joint replacements, 2011 or last available year, per 100 000 population.** Standardised based on the OECD population over 15 years.

OECD (2014). Geographic Variations in Health Care: What do we know and what can be done to improve health system performance? Paris: OECD Publishing.

# Problem: missing benchmark for "needs-based" regional variations



"Are the regions, or institutions, or practitioners with **high rates overproviding**, or are the **low ones under-providing**, or does the '**best' rate lie somewhere in the middle (or beyond either end**)?" (Evans, 1990 p.127)

Evans, R. (1990). The Dog in the Night-Time. In: *The Challenges of Medical Practice Variation* edited by Andersen TV and Mooney G, 117-152. London: MacMillan.

### Agenda

- 1. Concept of Population Capacity to Benefit (PCB)
- **2.** Review of international experiences
- 3. Directions for action

## What is "need for healthcare"?



"Minimum amount of resources required to exhaust a person's capacity to benefit (Culyer, 1995 p.728)

Culyer, A. J. (1995). Need: the idea won't do - but we still need it. Social Science & Medicine 40 (6):727-30.

#### **Distinct concepts**

- 1. Burden of disease ('need for health')
- 2. Population capacity to benefit ('need for health care')

Avoidable burden of disease

- 3. Diagnosis codes assigned by health professionals
- 4. Utilisation of services

Identical under 'ideal' circumstances Task: Identify and resolve discrepancies

## **Regional comparisons: Two methods**



	Standardisation	Population Capacity to Benefit
Guiding question	Which rate of interventions can be expected if region <i>k</i> had the same [age-, morbidity- etc] distribution as the standard population?	How many people in region <i>k</i> have a 'capacity to benefit' from intervention <i>i</i> ?
Purpose	Adjustment for causes of regional variations that are not attributable to differences in health system performance	Benchmark for the <i>region-specific</i> need for services
Challenges	No benchmark for the <b>region-</b> <b>specific</b> need for services	Estimation and interpretation Service use $< PCB \rightarrow Suggests$ underuse Service use $> PCB \rightarrow Suggests$ overuse Service use $\approx PCB \rightarrow Assessment$ of misuse: appropriateness of care provided

### **PCB: Estimation**



#### Health Technology Assessment (HTA)

#### **1. CRITERIA OF CAPACITY TO BENEFIT**

For which groups of patients does the intervention improve defined health outcomes?

Epidemiology

#### 2. POPULATION NEEDS ASSESSMENT

What is the **incidence** of these criteria in **population** *k* over a defined **time period** (e.g. a year)?

Health services research and planning

#### **3. COMPARISON WITH UTILISATION**

Is there evidence of a **discrepancy between service utilisation and population need** for defined interventions?

### **Review of PCB studies: Methods**



**Inclusion criteria:** empirical studies (indexed in Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science, Cinahl) which

- i. define **measurable criteria of capacity to benefit** from an intervention; and
- ii. On this basis estimate the need for services in a population (Population Capacity to Benefit)

**Search terms:** "needs assessment" AND healthcare AND population AND criteri\*; "needs assessment" AND "healthcare need"; "Population requirement"; "Healthcare requirement"; "needs assessment" AND healthcare AND population AND indication; "capacity to benefit" AND population; "healthcare needs assessment"; "right rate"; normative AND "treatment rate"; "Epidemiology of indications"

- 1113 studies in total
- 411 studies after exclusion of duplications
- <u>22 studies</u> included after full-text analysis

### **Focus und origin of PCB studies**



■ United Kingdom ■ Canada ■ Ireland ■ Australia ■ International-comparative



# 1. Defining criteria of 'capacity to benefit'



- Consensus Panels e.g. Sanderson *et al.* (1997)
- Guidelines of individual medical associations e.g. Ferris et al. (1998)
- New Zealand Score for hip- and knee joint replacements e.g. Frankel *et al.* (1999): but which cut-off score?
- Recent studies: evidence-based guidance of an independent HTA Agency
  - Schang *et al.*(2014): Standards published by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

### **2. Population needs assessment**



- Directly within the population under study: 7 of 22 studies
  - > Validity, but not always feasible
- Existing data from cohort or cross-sectional studies or disease registries from other populations: 15 of 22 studies
  - 6 of 17 studies: Sensitivity analysis to assess the impact of data uncertainty (external validity and transferability)

## 3. Discrepancy analysis



- Despite controversial criteria of capacity to benefit, indication of underuse
  - Jüni *et al.* (2003): Given a New Zealand (NZ) Score of **55 (43) points,** estimated **population need for knee joint replacements** per year in England of about **55 800 (101 500)** operations.

> Actual number provided: **29 300** (NHS and private sector, 1997)

- Co-existence of overuse and underuse
  - Hunter *et al.* (2004): Underuse of preventive services, overuse of endarterectomies for patients with stroke in Canada.
  - Schang *et al.* (2014): Clinical audits show that 2 of 3 ventilation tubes in England are **not provided in line with criteria of appropriateness.** PCB suggests simultaneous **net underuse at the population level**.



*Methods:* Schang, L. *et al.* (2014). Using an epidemiological model to investigate unwarranted variation: the case of ventilation tubes for otitis media with effusion in England. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy* 19 (4):236-44.

# **Conclusion: Population capacity to benefit**

LSE



- > Theoretically grounded
- Operationalised using methods from HTA and Epidemiology

#### Tool to quantify the discrepancy between utilisation and need

- Assess the degree of "overuse" and "underuse"
- Inform service planning

#### **Directions for action**

- **1.** Develop accurate criteria of capacity to benefit;
- 2. Target collection of epidemiological data;
- 3. Estimate PCB for resource-intensive procedures and for the entire pathway of care